			25 X 1
ATE 5/8/86			
OC NO EUR M 86-20065			
Washington	.D. C. 20505		
&PD 6 May 198	36	·	
Prospects for West Eu in Further US Military Moves	ropean Assist Against Liby	ance van Terrorism	
SUMMARY			
The continuing absence of harsh raid and recent EC actions to reduce activities of Libyan diplomats indiccoming to grips with three new factors.	the number a cate that Euro	and restrict the	
A growing recognition of Libya international terrorism.	an sponsorship	o of	
An emerging private consensus be the only way to deal with t	that toppling this problem.	g Qadhafi may now	
Mounting concern that more neg toward Western Europe may jeop	gative US publ pardize US sur	ic attitudes port for the	
Alliance.			25 X 1
Each government weighs these fact important variations in the private toward US requests for support in confidence and though opposition to a tit-for-take terrorist attacks remains strong, so such as France and Italy have promise Libya were to follow through on three Southern Europe. There are, moreove several Allies would offer at least aimed specifically at bringing down	attitudes or ombatting Liby cycle of report was Europe de to react meats to attacker, a number of passive support	van terrorism. orisals for bean governments militarily if NATO bases in of hints that ort to a strategy	
			0EV4
This memorandum, requested by Li North, National Security Council, wa Libya Working Group of the Office of	as drafted by European Ana	members of the alysis.	25X1
Ouestions and comments are welcome and Chief, West Euro	and may be ado opean Division	ressed to	25X1 25X1
		EUR M86-2006	051/4
•			25X1
			_0,(1

unlikely to involve anything more dramatic in most cases than turning a blind eye to US overflights and allowing US tankers to fly from bases in their countries, but France--if persuaded that a combined operation would actually topple Qadhafi--might go so far as to launch a simultaneous assault on Libya's southern battle line in Chad. In any of these cases, turning around one or two key Allies such as West Germany or France could create a momentum that would encourage other countries to be more cooperative.

At the Economic Summit in Tokyo this week, British, French, West German, and Italian leaders approved a relatively tough communique calling on countries to enact a series of measures to combat terrorism. Although somewhat watered down from the original British draft, according to press reports, the final communique went beyond previous EC statements in recommending improved extradition procedures for terrorists and stricter visa requirements for nationals of states sponsoring terrorism. Most importantly, it specifically identified Libya as a state sponsoring terrorism. Short of massive Libyan attacks in Western Europe or strong US pressure, the West European governments are likely to confine their efforts now to amplifying the diplomatic sanctions, drawdowns in economic relations, and police actions already under way. Their increased willingness to take a tougher public position against Libya, however, is a clear indication that they remain worried about further US military action and hope to avert it by being more cooperative on selective actions.

Reaction to US Raid

West European governments continue to believe that retaliatory raids against Libya will simply goad Qadhafi and other radical Arabs into further terrorist actions. They worry that their support for US reprisals would not only call down the wrath of the terrorists but would also jeopardize lucrative economic ties with Libya and, more importantly, the rest of the Arab world. In every country but France, moreover, domestic public opinion strongly opposes retaliation against Libya (despite widespread public acceptance that Libya is deeply involved in terrorism), and some leaders—notably Prime Minister Craxi in Italy and Chancellor Kohl in West Germany—must reckon that open support for the US could endanger their own political standing and even their hold on office.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

2

These concerns make the West European governments' tepid response to the US raid on 15 April all the more striking. Official statements were generally couched in terms of disagreement or disapproval rather than condemnation. Kohl went so far in public as to note his "understanding" for the US position

The absence of harsh criticism reflects, in our judgment, West European leaders' growing recognition that Libya is up to its elbows in international terrorism generally and specifically responsible for the Berlin attack that provoked the raid. We believe there is also a developing tacit consensus among these leaders that Qadhafi's removal from the scene would be a beneficial development—although they are still a long way

Europeans are anxious to play down differences with the US in order to halt adverse trends in American public attitudes toward Western Europe that might jeopardize US support for the Alliance.

from accepting a share of the responsibility for doing it.

The relatively mild response of West European leaders to the recent US raid also owes much, in our estimation, to the perception that Moscow has so far shied away from direct support of Qadhafi. We believe, in fact, that the vehemence of West European opposition to future US military actions is likely to increase in direct proportion to any evidence suggesting a Soviet commitment to rescue Tripoli. For this reason, we also think that almost all West European governments would strongly oppose US military strikes against terrorism-sponsoring Arab states such as Syria that are more highly valued by Moscow--no matter what the provocation.

Impact of Further Libyan Terrorism

We doubt that isolated terrorist incidents, even if solidly linked to Tripoli, would be sufficient by themselves to prompt West European governments to facilitate US military retaliation against Libya. These governments already acknowledge Libyan complicity in terrorism but see tit-for-tat reprisals as counterproductive. Publishable evidence further implicating Tripoli might help to solidify anti-Qadhafi sentiment in Western Europe and could improve the chances that governments will eventually close down Libyan Peoples Bureaus. It would not be likely, however, to alter government or public opposition to military reprisals. Polls taken by the USIA in the UK, France, and West Germany have shown simultaneous majorities agreeing that Libya supports terrorism but opposing military action against Tripoli.

3

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

2	5	X	1

A wave of particularly bloody terrorist incidents linked to Libya would probably harden attitudes toward Libya in the countries worst hit and encourage them to consider seriously further economic sanctions and severing diplomatic relations with Tripoli. It could, however, also lead to resentment against the US, which would be blamed for provoking Qadhafi. Skilfull fanning of this resentment could produce large anti-American demonstrations and calls for greater distancing from US policies toward Libya.

25X1

Libyan military attacks against targets in Southern Europe or even in North Africa would be a different story. Craxi has warned publicly that any repeat of the Libyan attack on Lampedusa or any other Libyan military assault on Italy would meet with a military response. Italy would almost certainly press for a joint NATO response to such provocation. France, meanwhile, has assured Italy, Spain, and Tunisia that it would come to their aid if they were attacked by Libya. Spain temporarily withdrew its ambassador when Libya threatened military reprisals, and we think Madrid at the very least would assist a US retaliation if Spanish territory were violated by Libyan forces.

25X1

Prospects for Leverage

Most European governments will be particularly hard to budge on the issue of open involvement in US military actions because they are convinced that this would expose them to grave security risks and economic setbacks—not to mention vocal public opposition. But we believe they might respond in varying degrees to certain types of US pressure, particularly if they could demonstrate to their publics that they were fully consulted and that proposed actions had a good chance of succeeding without provoking further terrorist reprisals. Some might be moved by high-level US appeals and most would be more likely to give support if they could take cover behind a joint European decision.

--West Germany's reliance on the US for its security guarantee provides an additional factor that may create an opening for US leverage. Even more than the other Allies, Bonn worries about factors that could weaken US resolve to defend Europe and might be motivated to grant overflights, refueling by US tankers staging out of bases in West Germany, and use of medical facilities by forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. If

4

25X1 Bonn did decide to cooperate with Washington, it would almost certainly urge other Allies to join in, and this could strongly influence Italy, Spain, and Portugal. --Lisbon might also be susceptible to a high-level appeal from the US for the use of Lajes Air Base because of Portugal's broadly pro-American views and its desire to be taken seriously as a partner in the Alliance. We believe the odds of obtaining Portuguese approval for overflights, transits of Lajes, and refueling from tankers brought into mainland Portugal would be better than 50-50 if Lisbon believed that the proposed US action either enjoyed appreciable Allied support or was likely to deal a definitive blow to Middle Eastern terrorism. --British Prime Minister Thatcher, despite strong domestic opposition, continues to support US policies, and we believe she would allow at least one more raid to be launched from British bases in reprisal for terrorism clearly laid at the Libyan doorstep. Given the strong public opposition in the UK, however, she would be even more anxious for publishable evidence of Libyan complicity. --Although Italian Prime Minister Craxi 25X1 heads a government that is badly divided 25X1 over Middle East policy and is not likely to be able to offer any more direct assistance beyond what Italy already provides in hosting the 6th Fleet. --Spanish Prime Minister Gonzalez is in a stronger position politically, but Spain has some of the greatest reservations of all the West Europeans about alienating the Arab world; we believe he would be unlikely to offer support unless it could be done under the umbrella of joint European action. 25X1 25X1 -- The French, for their part, will be loath to follow the American lead unless they can demonstrate clearly that it is in France's national interest. Although toppling Qadhafi would remove a thorn from their side in Chad, small-scale strikes against him, in their view, might cause him to lash out at France or at French interests in Africa. 25X1

5

,	n Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/11/23 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000403890001-6	
		25
	Support for Toppling Qadhafi	
	The effectiveness of leverage against the West Europeans will, of course, depend to a large extent on what they are being asked to do. European leaders draw a clear distinction between selective reprisals for specific terrorist attacks—which, in their view, do not get at the root cause of the problem and can only make matters worse by provoking further terrorist retaliations—and a comprehensive strategy for overthrowing Qadhafi and containing terrorism in Europe. Although they are highly resistant to any involvement in small—scale assaults on Libya, several West European countries, have	25 25 25 25
·		

				25 X 1
imte e medited als	too, would probably	, prefer decis	ive US action	
The British,	especially if it co	ould be justif	ied under	
international la	w. Thatcher would I	orobably view	this course as	
less demaging ou	er the long run to b	ner government	's domestic	
standing and lin	ks to the Arab world	i than a drawn	-out series of	0574
retaliatory raid	s from British bases	s or US alicia	rt carriers.	25X1
				25 X 1
Reporting fr	om the US Embassy in	n Madrid leads	us to believe	
that Chanich Off	icials also would we	STCOME & SATE	and Clean	
colution to Liby	van terrorism. We si	ispect that Pr	ime Minister	
Gonzalez might b	be inclined at least IS tankers taking of	to turn a D11 f from Spanish	nd eye to	
overflights of U	d lead to Qadhafi's	ouster. Give	n the same	
understanding. W	e believe the Portu	guese would be	even more	
amonable than th	ne Spanish to allowin	ng overflights	, transits	
through Laies, a	and refueling from ta	ankers brought	into mainiand	
Portugal. In bo	oth cases, the willing the participation of	ngness to lend	ne Support would	25X1
be enhanced by t	the participation of	Other Buropea		23/1
Italian				25X1
				25X1
		Thalu can pro	bably be counted	
on to continue h	nosting the US 6th F	leet and allow	ing	
us	se of Sigonella for	emergency airo	raft landings	25 X 6
and logistical f	flights to the Fleet	. Rome has be	en flying in	
Alitalia planes	to evacuate foreign	nationals fro	m Tripoli and	
would provide na	aval transport as we	ii, should it	become needed.	
				25 X 1
The West Ger	rmans, on the other	hand, would pr	obably oppose a	
massive strike a	at Libva, arguing th	at it was out	of all	
proportion to the	he Libyan provocatio efend Western Europe	ns. Bonn's wo	rries about the	
to pressure focu	erend western Edrope used on the importan	ce of cooperat	ion to Alliance	
solidarity.				25 X 1
_				20/(1
A major oper	ration against Libya ublic opinion to tur	would almost	certainly cause	
west European pu	pect large demonstra	tions in most	of the major	
cities. This ne	egative reaction wou	ld be dampened	d if France or	
other Allies ope	enlv participated in	the action.	If the US	
succeeded in rea	moving Qadhafi and p	utting the lic	d on terrorism in	
Western Europe,	we would expect the of the year. Any u	nsurge in ter	rorism in the	
wake of such an	attack would, of co	ourse, be blame	ed on the US and	
would feed the	anti-US movement.			25 X 1
				_3,11
	•			
	7		•	

•
The Price for Support
To the extent that West European governments openly support US military action—and especially where this is perceived to be in response to US pressure—there will be a price to pay. In France the government would probably find considerable public support for turning up the heat on the Libyans, but Mitterrand and Chirac would have to convince Frenchmen that they were acting out of purely French interests
A slip by either leader could upset the delicate balance or power in France between the Socialist President and the conservative government.
In <u>Britain</u> Thatcher is already under siege from public opinion, the media, the opposition, and a few Tory mavericks for her support to the US raid. Nevertheless, we believe she will allow US planes at least one more sortie in retaliation for Libyan terrorism—not only because of her own convictions, but also because reversing course now would look like caving in to
the opposition.
This damage could be limited by US support for British positions on issues like the pending US-UK Extradition Treaty, the ABM Treaty, SALT II, and SDI contracts.
Any cooperation in a future strike against Libya could have severe political repercussions for the West German government. Although it would probably not provoke a Cabinet crisis, it could contribute to major Christian Democratic losses in the state election in Lower Saxony on 15 June.
election in dower banding on to game.
The <u>Italian</u> Cabinet is badly split on Middle East policy. Open support for US attacks could trigger a government crisis similar to the one that shook Rome last fall over the release of Abu Abbas and lead to new elections, possibly returning a new majority less sympathetic to US policies. It would also be likely to renew pressure on the government to establish more control over US military activities on Italian bases.
The conflict with Libya comes at a critical time in the evolution of Spain 's security relationship with the West, as well as during the runup to the national election on 22 June. Any

8

	25X1
missteps by Gonzalez would almost certainly increase pressure for reducing the US military presence and for limiting Spain's role in NATO.	25 X 1
If <u>Portuguese</u> backing for the US results in terrorist attacks in Portugal, support might decline for security cooperation with the USincluding construction of the GEODSS deep space satellite tracking station in southern Portugal.	25X1
Conclusions	
We believe the West Europeans will hope to hold the line at the diplomatic measures they are now pursuing to limit the Libyan presence in Europe. At the Tokyo Economic Summit, the communique on terrorism went beyond previous EC statements in some respects—notably on extradition cooperation and visa requirements for nationals of states sponsoring terrorism—but it essentially pointed to stricter enforcement of measures already in train. In our view, the factor most likely to move them beyond this posture would be some further dramatic terrorist incidents clearly linked to the Libyans. In that case, we think most European leaders would seriously consider adopting limited economic sanctions and severing diplomatic relations with Tripoli. To the extent that they are seen to be yielding to US pressure, however, some of our strongest supporters among West European leaders could be seriously damaged domestically, and US—European security ties could be strained.	25 X 1
Calculations of self-interest will continue to be the overriding factor in West European responses to US policy toward Libya. West European leaders remain deeply worried about the security and economic implications for them of further US military action against Tripoli. They will be particularly alert for signs that the US is wedded to a tit-for-tat strategy that European leaders fear will alienate their electorates and produce a spiral of violence on their soil. The more convinced European leaders are that the US has a comprehensive and multifaceted plan for containing Libyan terrorismand getting rid of Qadhafithe more likely they are to respond positively to requests for some form of support. Realistically speaking, we believe this support is most likely to take the shape of quietly allowing overflights and use of US tankers and other logistical support stationed on European bases. In our view, a more public show of support by certain key Alliesespecially the French and West Germanswould encourage others to be more cooperative. With the exceptions of the UK and France, the Allies would clearly feel freer to endorse US actions if they could do so under the umbrella of a united	25 X 1
European response.	ZOAT

9

			25 X 1
		_	
UNITED KINGDOM	<i>:</i> •		
Government Positi	on		
Washington's mili showing nearly 70 decision to allow the Prime Minister protecting the US US retaliation was	ter Thatcher continued tary action despite of percent of Britons of US use of UK bases. The series resolve are her percent of the broad particles of the broad the bro	numerous opinion plaisagreeing with last among the factor personal concern this hip, "her convication and Tory approval:	polls ner rs steeling for ction that for the
backbench dissati Torieswith the Heathare support would-be successor backed the Prime also believe that on 17 April when Britain's EC part	e press is claiming to sfaction with the Prince prominent exception of ting her solidly. It or and former Defense Minister in the after Thatcher's position Foreign Secretary How theres—apparently with	ime Minister's decorf former Prime Mindeed, even Thatch Secretary Michael math of the US raws somewhat strewe mended some fernithe help of US	cision, the inister ner's Heseltine aid. We engthened nees with
intelligence supp	olied to justify the	raid.	25X1
Washington and wi	on, Thatcher intends ill do so for the immed the invective of the	ediate future desp	pite
Winning Greater F			
We believe t to boost support	there is little more I for the US.	London can do at 1	25X6 25X6 Her
has open-ended us ministers have pu blanche and must	ver, cannot afford any se of the bases. Indestinct that provide convincing ever to use the bases again	ed, both Thatcher the US does not b vidence if and who	Washington and her ave <u>carte</u>
be improved if sh supply that links and, just as impo the future. Evid installations and helpful, especial	eve Thatcher's abili- ne received whatever of Libya to terrorist : Ortantly, material de- dence tying Libya to the l nationals, of course ly if some of the mater of Thatcher is unable	evidence Washingto incidents as they cailing Tripoli's terrorist attacks e, would be partic terial could be us	on can occur, plans for on British cularly sed in the

9	⊏	V	4
Z	Э	А	1

opinion around through her parliamentary expositions of London's intent to "defeat terrorism," and particularly if British casualties keep mounting, we believe that it will become increasingly important to provide UK authorities with evidence that can be given to the British media.

25X1

The Cost to the UK

Thatcher is clearly under seige at the moment from public opinion, the media, the opposition, and—though to a lesser extent—fellow Allies in Western Europe. Although we believe the Prime Minister intends to stand by her present policy and probably would authorize a second use of UK bases if Washington presented another convincing case, there are several events that could deal her—and Tory reelection chances—a serious political blow.

--If the US became locked in a tit-for-tat battle with Libya that required repeated use of UK bases, Thatcher almost certainly would be attacked--and probably with telling effect in parliamentary byelections and local elections set for 8 May and perhaps in the general election expected in 1987

25X6

opinion, London probably would prefer a US attempt to knock Qadhafi out in one or two large-scale blows rather than a protracted series of "surgical" strikes.

--Paradoxically, Thatcher could also be exposed to political damage if the US had to carry out another mission against Libya and did not use UK bases. Thatcher and her ministers have both quoted Pentagon sources to drive home the point that UK bases were essential to the raid on 15 April. If Washington were to stage another successful raid without using British bases, the opposition almost certainly would claim that she had been duped by Washington into allowing actions that were contrary to the wishes of a large majority of Britons; it would further charge that she had thereby isolated the UK from the rest of Western Europe.

25X1

In our opinion, Thatcher fully anticipated these short-term political problems but expects to gain over the long-term by contrasting her decisiveness with the tepid response of others at home and abroad and by receiving something in return for defending US policy. In this vein, the Prime Minister almost certainly approved of Tory Party chairman Tebbit's speech on 22 April calling for speedy Senate passage of the US-UK Extradition Treaty and for exempting British trade from US extraterritorial laws. We also believe that Thatcher expects Washington to avoid

issues such a treatment of negotiatons. political and moderate Arab	As the ABM Tree British and E London may a d economic into osespecially of 1986that	eaty, SALT II, French nuclear also attempt t terests in the during Brite	nment's position, SDI contracts, solution of the US-S contracts of the Contracts of the US has planted to the	and the soviet to its range to end the societ to end to end to end the end to end the end to
				J

25X1
-

FRANCE

Government Position

Paris opposed the US raid on Tripoli and refused permission for US Fills flying out of England to overfly France on their way. The government's official statement on the US raid, repeated personally by Prime Minister Chirac in the National Assembly, "deplored" the escalation of terrorism that had led to US reprisals and called on both Washington and Tripoli to stop the "cycle of violence."

The decision to deny overflight was probably based primarily on longstanding French concerns--fear of drawing terrorist French nationals still resident reprisals against France, Libya, and French hostages held in Lebanon; fear of disrupting profitable commercial relations with Arab nations; and traditional reluctance to become involved in any initiative that The decision, however, was made more France does not control. difficult because it had to be agreed to by two men from opposing political camps -- Socialist President Mitterrand and neo-Gaullist Prime Minister Chirac. Both men are still maneuvering to dominate French policymaking, and both want to appear tough on terro-Chirac, in particular, has talked tough on dealing with rism. terrorism, but his Gaullist constituency would be leery of any action that smacked of subservience to the United States.

weakened Chirac's coalition with the Centrists by pitting him against former President Giscard d'Estaing and other Centrist leaders who sharply criticized the government's position in the National Assembly.

Chirac has reportedly assured Italy, Spain, and Tunisia that France will come to their aid militarily if they are attacked by Libyan forces. French officials have also hinted that they would support a serious effort by the United States to topple Qadhafi. Although there is inevitably a certain amount of posturing in all this, we believe that France might be willing to consider military support for the struggle against Qadhafi's terrorism under certain circumstances, particularly given that French forces already confront Libyan troops across the 16th parallel in Chad.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Winning Greater Flexibility

The Chirac government, which rests on a slim two-seat majority in parliament, finds itself in a bind. If Chirac were to support a US operation, he might risk an open confrontation with Mitterrand over control of foreign and defense policy; he would also leave his government open to Socialist criticism for any terrorist retaliation. On the other hand, his "Gaullist" neutralism has generated ugly divisions in conservative ranks—most Centrists probably agree with Giscard, and National Front leader Le Pen's approval of US actions completes the Gaullists' isolation on the right. In the short term, Chirac can probably smooth ruffled feathers in his coalition, especially by putting out the line that he actually would have supported a tougher US response but could not sign on to half-measures. He may eventually find it necessary, however, to adopt this position publicly—a move that could reduce his maneuvering room with Mitterrand.

25X1

25X1

For the time being, Mitterrand and Chirac have both found it useful to leak hints that they would support a more concerted US effort to oust Qadhafi. This may simply be an attempt to divert US pressure, based on the assumption that Washington will not call their bluff. In any case, it is a useful stance for them because it maintains the foreign policy consensus, and neither probably now finds it in his domestic political interest to start an open row with the other, especially over France's attitude toward US policy. On balance, this course carries risks for both men in their maneuvering with each other, but they would be encouraged by the apparent drift of French public opinion toward support for bold action. In our judgment, therefore:

- --France would continue to deny support for reprisals of the kind already carried out (barring major Libyan terrorist activities in France).
- --French cooperation in an effort to deal a decisive blow against Qadhafi is achievable, but probably only on the basis of an agreement on broad strategy.

In our view, if Paris were to agree to support a major US assault on Qadhafi, it would be in the context of a joint military operation in which French and Chadian forces attacked from the south, through northern Chad and the Aozou Strip--making crystal clear to all French political actors that the government was acting from French interests.

25X1

It will not be easy to convince France that a plan to oust Qadhafi is likely to succeed. French experiences in Algeria and Lebanon and the struggle against Libya in Chad have left Paris cynical about "quick fixes" to Middle East problems.

25X1

25X1

Meanwhile, Paris supports a European consensus on scaling back drastically the freedom of movement accorded to Libyan diplomats and on cutting back Libyan representation. Chirac has already announced that he wants to renegotiate portions of the Vienna treaty establishing diplomatic privileges for embassies, and he has already shown a willingness to expel Libyans from France at any provocation. He does not appear to have encountered any resistance from Mitterrand on this issue.

25X1

Although France is a strong proponent of maintaining open channels of communications—even with enemies—Chirac and Mitter-rand might be willing to go along with closing all Libyan Peoples Bureaus in Western Europe if there were a clear EC consensus. Unity with Bonn would be a prime concern on this issue, and the German position could tip the balance. A wave of Libyan terrorist attacks on French soil or a renewed Libyan offensive in Chad might push France in the direction of severing relations, but we do not believe unilateral US pressure would be very effective.

25X1

The Costs to France

Chirac is likely to have considerable public support if he turns up the heat on Libyans in France, and we believe he and Mitterrand could commit French military forces against Libya without encountering major opposition from a public inured to an on- again off-again war with Libya in Chad. Recent opinion polls indicate that the French public -- far more than either the Germans or the British--would approve (56 percent) US military action against Qadhafi, if there were good evidence of Libyan responsibility for terrorism. According to the US Embassy in Paris, a strawpoll taken by a Paris radio station the morning after the US attack showed 80 percent support for Washington and a strong current of shame that the French government had refused Assuming that Paris could paint a to accomodate US overflights. convincing picture of pursuing French objectives, we believe there might be little political cost for the government to bear. The cost would, of course, go up if the operation failed dismally or if it provoked a bloodbath of terrorist retaliations in France and against French interests abroad.

25X1

				,	
	Support Fac				Libya
Could esonly	provide air	space fly-	over permi	ssion. No	US/NATO bas-
			•		

WEST GERMANY
Government Position
Chancellor Kohl clearly has been in a quandry in responding to the US attack on Libya. He publicly expressed understanding for
the distrike while questioning its effectiveness
_
Kohl is strongly pro-US and publicly accuses Libya of supporting terrorism, but he
probably fears the airstrike will provoke further violence and
damage Western ties to the Arab world. In addition, Kohl almost
certainly wants to avoid giving the opposition further grounds to accuse him of subservience to Washington.
The government and coalition parties are divided over the US
airstrike.
Winning Greater Flexibility
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libva would be forceful indications from
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germansespecially the
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germansespecially the Christian Democratsprobably would be concerned that a blanket
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germansespecially the Christian Democratsprobably would be concerned that a blanket refusal to cooperate would lead to inexorable domestic pressure
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germansespecially the Christian Democratsprobably would be concerned that a blanket refusal to cooperate would lead to inexorable domestic pressure in the United States to reduce forces in the Federal Republic.
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germans—especially the Christian Democrats—probably would be concerned that a blanket refusal to cooperate would lead to inexorable domestic pressure in the United States to reduce forces in the Federal Republic. And Bonn probably would be concerned that the perception of a
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germans—especially the Christian Democrats—probably would be concerned that a blanket refusal to cooperate would lead to inexorable domestic pressure in the United States to reduce forces in the Federal Republic. And Bonn probably would be concerned that the perception of a major defeat for the United States could undermine the
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germans—especially the Christian Democrats—probably would be concerned that a blanket refusal to cooperate would lead to inexorable domestic pressure in the United States to reduce forces in the Federal Republic. And Bonn probably would be concerned that the perception of a
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germansespecially the Christian Democratsprobably would be concerned that a blanket refusal to cooperate would lead to inexorable domestic pressure in the United States to reduce forces in the Federal Republic. And Bonn probably would be concerned that the perception of a major defeat for the United States could undermine the credibility of the US security guarantee to Western Europe.
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germans—especially the Christian Democrats—probably would be concerned that a blanket refusal to cooperate would lead to inexorable domestic pressure in the United States to reduce forces in the Federal Republic. And Bonn probably would be concerned that the perception of a major defeat for the United States could undermine the credibility of the US security guarantee to Western Europe.
We believe the only means of inducing Bonn to lend support in a future strike against Libya would be forceful indications from Washington that Congress and the American people regard the issue as crucial to the Alliance. The West Germansespecially the Christian Democratsprobably would be concerned that a blanket refusal to cooperate would lead to inexorable domestic pressure in the United States to reduce forces in the Federal Republic. And Bonn probably would be concerned that the perception of a major defeat for the United States could undermine the credibility of the US security guarantee to Western Europe.

				25 X 1
•				
Minister Gensche our opinion, wou objectives, a hi- of US attacks to reprisals agains	renewed EC-Arab dia r. Even more impor ld be a clear delin gh probability of a Libyan provocation t Libya will not co e more likely to ag	tant for Bonn leation of US chieving them is, and assura ontinue indefi	's decision, in military, proportionality nces that nitely. Bonn	
were strongly ba If Bonn did it almost certai overflights, ref in West Germany.	<pre>cked by Paris and L agree to some form nly would insist on ueling of aircraft and use of medical</pre>	ondon. of cooperation limiting it from tankers facilities.	n against Libya, to US staging to bases The West Germans	25X1
almost certainly bases in the Fed	would resist bombi eral Republic and t	ng missions on the control of the co	forces assigned	
to NATO.				25X1
prolong consulta	the West Germans a tions as long as po	almost certain essible and to	ly would try to conduct them in	7
a NATO framework	· • ·			25X1
		We believ	e the Chancellor	
joint Alliance d regarding the ex he prefers a coo especially the F did decide to co	er that any action be lecision. He has st spulsion of two Liby ordinated response a French, British, and soperate with Washir arge other Allies to	pe perceived prated repeated an officials among the West Germans agton, it almong to almong the almong to almong the almong to almong the almong to almong the a	oublicly as a a ly-most recently from Bonnthat Europeans, thus, if Bonn	, 25X1
The Costs to Wes	t Germany			
severe political	ion in a future str repercussions for	rike against I the Kohl gove	ribya could have ernment, in our	
judgment.				25 X 6

		·
		25

25X1

ITALY

Government Position

Rome, believing that it is more vulnerable to economic and military retaliation from Libya than other NATO Allies and that it has the most to lose from further escalation, publicly condemned the US raid. At the same time, however, government leaders worry increasingly that Italy and Europe cannot afford to become further estranged from the US. Thus Rome will probably continue to walk its narrow policy tightrope, maintaining distance from the US in public to avoid provoking Qadhafi and domestic opposition, while quietly trying to facilitate Washington's efforts.

Prime Minister Bettino Craxi's Cabinet has been seriously divided for several months over foreign policy generally and policy toward Libya in particular. Defense Minister Spadolini has consistently emerged as the strongest advocate of tougher action against Qadhafi and his terrorist allies. During the Achille Lauro hijacking last October, Spadolini argued that Rome must be prepared to use force against the perpetrators, and his resignation over the government's release of Abu Abbas nearly caused the collapse of the coalition. He is clearly unhappy over Rome's public condemnation of the US retaliatory raid.

Spadolini's views are sharply at odds with those of Foreign Minister Giulio Andreotti who insists that Italian and Western interests can best be served by engaging Qadhafi rather than isolating him. Andreotti has frequently expressed reservations about Washington's evidence linking Qadhafi to terrorism. He repeatedly has argued that little progress will be made toward ending Middle East-generated terrorism until there is progress on the Palestinian question.

Most observers have assumed that Craxi's views on the Middle East are closer to Andreotti's.

probably worries that a majority of Italian leaders and the Italian public buy Andreotti's argument that the way to forestall Libyan terrorism is to continue negotiating with Qadhafi.

25**X**1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

Craxi is first and foremost a political animal, however, and he will adopt his position to the prevailing political winds. Last weekend he threatened to use military force in response to further Libyan attacks against Italy. This toughening of his position may have been prompted in part by Spadolini's threat to resign. Craxi may also be responding to hints of considerable discontent among Andreotti's Christian Democrats—the largest party of the governing coalition—with the Foreign Minister's line. Moreover, Craxi seems genuinely concerned that the gap developing between the US and Western Europe over Libya could

portend serious consequences for the future of the NATO alliance.

25X1

25X1

Winning Greater Flexibility

Despite the hardening of Craxi's remarks to the public, we do not expect Rome to alter its stand significantly in the weeks ahead. Italy can probably be counted on to continue hosting US ships and allowing surreptitious use of Sigonella for emergency aircraft landings and logistical flights to the 6th Fleet, but the Italians will remain nervous about their role. We believe that Craxi would be hardpressed, at this point, to facilitate aerial refueling or grant transit through Italian airspace in the event of a follow-on airstrike against Libya. Rome has been willing, on the other hand, to take the lead in helping to organize the evacuation of those West Europeans resident in Libya who want to leave.

25X1

Although Craxi's ability to maneuver at home seems quite limited, a shift in political trends could free him to be more assertive. A growing number of leaders within the governing coalition, for example, are worried about the gap that has developed between the US and Europe over Libya and have begun to call for Italy to take a more aggressive stand against Qadhafi. A media campaign designed to counter Tripoli's exploitation of the civilian casualties generated by the US raid—one that featured footage of recent Qadhafi—related terrorist attacks and played up Qadhafi's ties to various terrorist groups—in conjunction with further publication of evidence linking Qadhafi to recent events, might turn enough public and political opinion to tip the balance toward more open support for US policies.

25X1

25X1

Declassified in	n Part - Sanitized Copy	Approved for Releas	e 2011/11/23 : CIA-RD)P86T01017R00040389000)1-6
					25X1
•					
	The Costs to It	aly			
	for internal state to play various the risk that he crisis at this Achille Lauro a budget—could an early nation that it could the Andreotti less sympathet current level of further attents galvanize supports	ress and an attalement was leaders agains is government was point—in the waffair, the relevery well lead to all election. To yield a governing action of the Control of support alreation on US use of support alreation of the support all support alreation of the support alreation of the support alrea	empt to apply proceed to one another over the content of the conte	a high tolerance ressure on Rome or ver Libya carries a. A government over the s, and this year's of parliament and the an election is thaps dominated by atic Partythat is sing one. Even the a minimum, to focusions in Italy and to leftist calls to	s
					25 X 6
					05V4
					25 X 1

				25 X
÷				
SPAIN				
Government Position				
Prime Minister 6 not "condemn") the 6 lead to an increase addition to Gonzalez the large resident A worry that protracter radical elements in Spain's hold on its	IS raid on the in rather than a don't be concern over a community in the tension in the the region and the region are a controlled the region and the region and the region are a controlled the region are a controlled the region and the region are a controlled the region and the region are a controlled the region area controlled the region are a controlled the region are a contro	mplied ground recrease in ter an upsurge in Spain, he is Maghreb would litimately fue	that it would rorism. In terrorism from also likely to distrengthen challenges to	25X
Spanish leaders closing all doors—to overflights, tanker of direct assistance however, Gonzalez armade Madrid a leader anti-terrorism measustriking at Western back at Libya.	that they would a support from base to US military and Foreign Minis in the effort ares that would	deny a US requeses in Spain, efforts. At ter Fernandez-to persuade the both discourage	est for and other forms the same time, Ordonez have e EC to adopt e Qadhafi from	25X^
				25 X 6
about terrorism, and Washington have gone maneuver on the issue on for long.	the political up . Gonzalez	costs of coope still retains	room for	25X6 25X
				25 X 6
				25 X ′

25 X 1

We believe that meeting these various conditions would probably be enough to encourage Gonzalez to brave the adverse climate of public opinion that has developed in Spain since the US raid. If all of them were fulfilled, the prospects would be substantially better than 50-50 that Gonzalez would grant both overflights and tanker support. However, those odds could fall to even or less if he believed the US action would not be a definitive blow to Libyan terrorism. In any event, we believe there is little chance that he would sanction participation by Spanish-based US aircraft in direct combat roles in Libya. Similarly, the chances are virtually nil that Spanish military units would participate in an attack on Libya unless Gonzalez were responding to a direct Libyan military attack on Spain. Even in that case, Gonzalez would probably be reluctant to retaliate against Tripoli without the help of his Mediterranean allies--especially France and Italy.

The Costs to Spain

The conflict with Libya comes at a critical time in the evolution of Spain's security relationship with the West. Madrid is in the midst of preparations for bilateral talks on the future of the US military presence in Spain, and after the referendum last month Spanish leaders are crystallizing their thinking on the future shape of Spain's participation in NATO. Recent US confrontations with Libya, moreover, have already fueled public misgiving over Spain's security links with Washington, and further US moves against Qadhafi would probably deepen that concern unless they quickly brought an end to the problem. Increased public anxiety, in turn, would almost certainly increase pressure for a reduction in the US military presence and for carefully limiting Spain's role in NATO.

for carefully limiting Spain's role in NATO.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Declassified in Part - San	itized Copy Approve	ed for Release 2011/11/23 :	: CIA-RDP86T01017R000	0403890001-6
----------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	--------------

P				

Government Position

Prime Minister Cavaco Silva, who leads Portugal's minority Social Democratic government, and Foreign Minister Pires Miranda have been circumspect so far in responding to the US strike against Libya. Both expressed their "surprise" that the raid had taken place and reaffirmed their support for the positions taken by the EC ministers. Cavaco Silva called for "dialogue," rather than "force," to deal with international terrorism. President Soares, who founded the rival Socialist Party, has had little to say.

The Portuguese position on Libya is more complex than the generally unified picture presented to the public so far. has long been a major supporter of US foreign policy on terrorism and the Middle East. His enthusiasm for championing US policies, however, has almost certainly been dampened by what he perceived as a lack of US support for his presidential campaign earlier this year. Soares is also concerned about establishing good relations with Cavaco Silva, his longtime political rival, and the Prime Minister has made it clear that he would resist "interference" by Soares in the government's conduct of foreign policy. Cavaco Silva, for his part, has a strong pro-Western orientation but believes that his country has more to gain as a new EC member by coordinating its diplomacy closely with the rest of Western Europe than by continuing the generally Washington-oriented diplomatic course charted by Soares. Minister Pires Miranda, who has a significant voice in shaping Portuguese diplomacy, is strongly rumored to have Arab sympathies, which suggests that he is less supportive of US policy.

Winning Greater Flexibility

In general, public opinion in Portugal is both more pro-American and more apathetic about foreign policy as a whole than in most other West European countries, making it easier for Portuguese leaders to support Washington. Nonetheless, politicians of virtually every stripe believe that Portugal has received little in return for being one of Washington's staunchest allies since the end of World War II. At least some of the recent prickliness in Portuguese policy toward the United States could be undone by simply demonstrating that Washington takes Lisbon seriously as a partner and values its past contributions to Western security arrangements.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

	*
Portuguese receptivity toward support for US out-of-area operations would also increase if Lisbon could be convinced that the US action would bring a quick end to Libyan sponsored terrorism and thereby reduce the threat of retaliation against Portugal. The Portuguese are especially sensitive on this score because they recognize that they do not have the ability to	
monitor and counter foreign terrorists in their country.	25X
A final factor that would facilitate Portuguese support for US policy would be indications that other Alliesespecially Spain, France, and Italywere also on board. Assurances along those lines would reduce Lisbon's fear of being singled out for retaliation and would give the appearance of the sort of coordinated European response that would appeal to Cavaco Silva	
in particular.	25X
The odds of obtaining Portuguese approval for overflights, transiting Lajes AB, and refueling from tankers brought into mainland Portugal would be better than 50-50 as long as Lisbon believed that the proposed US action either enjoyed appreciable Allied support or was likely to deal a definitive blow to Middle	

The Costs to Portugal

The most important current bilateral issue between Washington and Lisbon is expediting construction of the GEODSS deep space satellite tracking station in southern Portugal. Construction could be delayed or -- in an unlikely worst case -- derailed altogether as a result of Portuguese support for US action against Qadhafi. The Communists are a significant political force that controls about 15 to 20 percent of the electorate, and they would almost certainly try to exploit the issue. They could argue that the United States had "forced" Portugal's leaders to back a "reckless" military operation that increased the terrorist threat to Portugal itself. If the US operation were successful, Communist agitiation would probably fail to produce a significant reaction. On the other hand, a protracted upswing in Middle Eastern-based terrorism in Portugal following Lisbon's support for Washington would give some credence to Communist charges and make it harder for the government to move ahead quickly on Indeed, a failed operation of any sort would weaken confidence in US judgment, but the reaction would be especially

Eastern terrorism. If both conditions were met the odds of

there would be little cannce of winning Portguese support.

obtaining Portuguese support would be high. If neither obtained,

25X1

25X1 25X1

Declassified in	n Part - Sanitized Cop	by Approved for Rele	ase 2011/11/23	: CIA-RDP86T010	17R000403890001-6	
•						25 X 1
	it In that c	appeared that wase, support model of the bilates cord expires	ight decline	for security	y cooperation s favorable	25X1
						25 X 6
						25 X 1

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/11/23 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000403890001	-6
	25 X 1
<u> </u>	
TURKEY	
Turkey has been very low-key in its reactions to the US raid on Tripoli. The only explicit intervention by Turkey in NATO meetings has been a request to examine the current operational status and plans for the Standing Naval Force Mediterranean (presumably with an eye to keeping it out of any hostile actions against Libya).	25X1
The Turks have little sympathy for Qadhafi, but their major economic interests in Libya and other Middle Eastern countries and their common borders with Iran and Syriaboth outspoken supporters of Libyamake them anxious to avoid any escalation of the US-Libyan conflict. The government has publicly said that US air attacks on Libya are not compatible with international law and that only joint action will be effective against	
international terrorism.	25 X 1
Winning Greater Flexibility	
Turkey might be more inclined to support US actions that have a NATO imprimatur or at least tacit support from moderate Arab states. In addition, Ankara might tighten restrictions on Libyan diplomatic and cultural activities in Turkey if Turkey were persuaded of a potential internal threat from Libyan officials. It also is possible that a potential security threat can be used to encourage an indefinite extension of the current temporary ban on aircraft and ship movement from Turkey to Libya. Turkish reluctance to support the US might dissipate, however, if Libya were to harm or threaten the 30-40,000 Turkish workers in Libya, or overtly threaten Turkish officials.	25X1
	25X6 25X1
The Costs to Turkey	
Threatening Turkish security assistance carries obvious risks for long-term US interests. It would probably cause serious damage to US relations with the Ozal government and could	
	25X1

	•					
						would also
4		- 444	: Lha lafti	at annocit	ability. It	เดเปิลทโช
ac St	ccuses the trenuous US	government arm-twist	of being ing would	probably s	nodating to the trengthen opposite in Turkey.	osition to
נט	5 base righ	ts and for	ent anti-A	mericanism	in Turkey.	
				•		

Declassified in	n Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/11/23 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000403890001-6	
· ·		25)
	GREECE	
	Government Position	
	Foreign Minister Papoulias, speaking for the government, expressed "disapproval" of the recent US operation on the grounds that it would not put an end to terrorism. The ruling Socialist Party was more critical—charging that the attack had placed all of Europe in mortal danger. According to Embassy reporting, the Greek public appears genuinely uneasy over the potential consequences for Greece should the present US-Libyan clash escalate. The Greek leadership has repeatedly told the public that the US bases in Greece will not be used in support of US operations against Libya, and there are some indications that it has taken steps to ensure this does not happen.	25)
	Winning Greater Flexibility The Greek government has become increasingly aware of the threat posed to its security and economic interests by international terrorism and has moved to a position of explicit condemnation. The Papandreou government is anxious to protect its commercial and political role in the Middle East, however, and fears terrorist retaliation. Of all the Allies, Greece, in our view, is the least likely to apply sanctions or allow the use of US bases in Greece against Libya. Probably the best chance of budging Papandreou would be if he were pressed to act in concert with a firm EC consensus—	25)
	particularly one supported strongly by fellow Socialists in France, Spain, and Italy.	25 X 6
		25X6 25X
		25X
		20,
		25>

Cyprus				
It is virte oppose use of the Cypriot government repeatedly expressed by the British base Arab markets, as make it extreme	ent has condeminessed disapprovesses on Cyprus. nd its geograph	es to be use ned the US a val of possi Cyprus is e hic location	ed against Lattack on Li attack on Li able third p economically a and large	ibya. The bya and arty use of dependent o
	·			

SUBJECT: Prospects for West European Assistance in Further US Military Moves Against Libya

Distribution:

External

```
1 - Lieutenant Colonel Oliver North, NSC (handcarried 5/01/86)
1 - Mr. Ray Caldwell, State
1 - Ms. Gloria Kroll, Army
1 - Mr. Steven Danzansky, NSC
1 - Mr. Donald Fortier, NSC
1 - Mr. Peter Sommer, NSC
1 - Mr. Tyrus Cobb, NSC
1 - Mr. Tyrus Cobb, NSC
1 - Mr. Donald Gregg, NSC
1 - Mr. James Davis, The Pentagon
1 - The Honorable Michael H. Armacost, State
1 - Mr. Barry Lowenkron, State
1 - Ambassador Rozanne Ridway
1 - Mr. Charles H.Thomas, EUR/State
```

- 1 Mr. William M. Woessner, EUR/State
 1 Ms. Diana Smith, EUR/State
 1 Mr. Tom Troy, EUR/PP/State
- 1 Mr. Harry Gilmore, EUR/CE/State

1 - Mr. M. James Wilkinson, EUR/State

- 1 Mr. Michael Habib, EUR/CE/State
- 1 Mr. Marten van Heuven, EUR/WE/State
- 1 Mr. William Rope, EUR/SE/State
- 1 Mr. Martin A. Wenick, EUR/NE/State
- 1 The Honorable H. Allen Holmes, State
- 1 Mr. Robert Dean, State
- 1 Ambassador Morton I. Abramowitz, INR/State
- 1 Mr. Henry Myers, INR/State
- 1 Mr. Glenn R. Cella, INR, State
- 1 Mr. J. Richard Thurman, INR/State
- 1 The Honorable Richard W. Murphy, State1 Mr. John A. Cantwell, INR/LAR/State
- 1 The Honorable Fred C. Ikle, Defense
- 1 Mr. Darnell M. Whitt, Defense
- 1 The Honorable Richard L. Armitage, Defense
- 1 The Honorable Richard N. Perle, Defense
- 1 Deputy Assistant Secretary for European & NATO Policy, State
- 1 Mr. James W. Morrison, Defense
- 1 Mr. George W. Bader, Defense1 Mr. Thomas C. Thorne, INR/C, State
- 1 Ms. Susan J. Koch, Defense
- 1 Mr. Leo Michel, Defense

		25X1
	·	.· 25X1
Internal 1 - DDI (7E47) 1 - NIO/EURA (7E62) 1 - NIO/CT (7E47) 1 - NIO/NESA (7E47) 1 - D/ALA (3F45) 1 - D/CPAS (7F16) 1 - D/OCR (2E60) 1 - D/OEA (4F18) 1 - D/OGI (3G03) 1 - D/OIA 1 - D/NESA (6G02) 1 - D/SOVA (4E58) 1 - D/SOVA (4E58) 1 - D/EURA 2 - EURA Production State of the	aff	25X1
EURA/WE/CM	(6 May 198	6) 25X1



25X1 25X1

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/11/23 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000403890001-6

Attitudes of West European Governments on Key Issues of Counterterrorism

25X1

	Libyan responsibility for terrorism, espe- cially West Berlin bombing	US Gulf of Sidra operations and air raids of 14 April	EC sanctions thus far and possible further restrictions on Libyans	Raising or pressing counterterrorist issue at Tokyo Summit	Largescale effort to overthrow Qadhafi	Support for stronger economic and diplo- matic sanctions, including blockade of Lib- yan ports	Response to Libyan military attacks on NATO bases in southern Europe
United Kingdom	Publicly blames Libya for terrorism and Berlin bombing; large reservoir of doubt among the population.	Defends fully US actions in both in- stances, despite strong public opposition and some backbench Tory grumbling.	Had pressed for closures of LPBs but gave full support to consensus measures adopt- ed. Severed diplomatic relations in April 1984.	Would support if it seemed likely to produce a consensus and if US is not seen as dictating terms.	Would prefer decisive US action to tit-for- tat raids. Major Libyan attack in UK might prompt contribution of British forces.	Opposes economic sanctions but has al- ready suspended export credits and arms sales. Slim chance of support for EC oil embargo; would probably respect block- ade, if supported by Allies.	Would support NATO military response.
West Germany	Publicly accuses Libya of supporting ter- rorism and says Tripoli responsible for Berlin bombing.	Endorses US right to maneuver in interna- tional waters in Gulf of Sidra. Did not back airstrikes but expressed "understan- ding."	Pushed strongly for measures adopted. Probably would take further action against Libyan officials if Qadhafi launched another terrorist attack in West Germany.	Likely to support to help assuage US concerns and provide symbol of international cooperation.	Would applaud overthrow of Qadhafi, but public opinion and ties to Arab states would rule out involvement, barring strong US pressure or EC joint action.	Opposed to sanctions in principle; would fear economic consequences and US-Soviet confrontation.	Would press for stiff EC reaction— possibly more expulsions and new re- strictions on movement of Libyans; would endorse military response.
France	Publicly accuses Libya of sponsoring ter- rorism;	Viewed Gulf of Sidra operation as danger- ous provocation; "deplored" increased terrorism but charged that US actions contributed to cycle of violence.	Opposed call for EC consensus to close LPBs but supported restrictive measures adopted. Will probably reduce LPB staff- ing and make more cuts in economic ties.	Mitterrand and Chirac have announced support. Both will assert that cooperation will be dictated by national interest.	May offer overflight rights and bases as staging areas; might agree to participate, perhaps by attacking in Chad.	Opposes sanctions but has scaled back significantly trade with Tripoli. With full consultation, would probably support a US blockade.	Would probably respond unilaterally with military force to any attack on Italy or Spain. Might launch simulta- neous attack in northern Chad.
Italy	Publicly acknowledges Libyan complicity in and support for terrorism generally. Blames Tripoli for Berlin murders.	Dissociated itself from Gulf of Sidra ma- neuvers and condemned bombing raid; pri- vately more supportive. Government bit- terly divided on Middle East policy.	Voted in favor of EC measures. Has re- stricted movements of LPB members and tightened visa regulations. Eventually, may reduce size of LPB.	Since Rome Airport attack, has pushed for more coordinated international action; would welcome Summit commitment.	Unlikely to support any action outside NATO framework.	Probably would support additional sanc- tions only within the EC context. Would respect US blockade but condemn action publicly.	Would press for NATO response but might take up US or French offers of assistance if need was urgent.
Greece 1	Publicly claims to be unconvinced by evi- dence of Libyan involvement in Berlin bombing;	Concerned Sidra operations are threat to regional peace. Condemned bombing raid as leading to more terrorism. Says root cause of violence—the Palestinian problem—must be addressed.	Reluctantly accepted EC measures; enact- ment tied to "national circumstances" and more solid proof. Monitoring Arab popula- tion.		Unlikely to support but would probably not interfere with "regularly scheduled" reconnaissance flights from US bases in Greece.	Would oppose any stiffer sanctions or blockade.	Would blame US for provoking attacks and move to block use of US bases. Has warned Tripoli, however, that any at- tack on US bases is attack on Greece.
Turkey	Officials and public aware that Libya promotes terrorism. Appear convinced of Libyan responsibility for Berlin bombing, but have not taken public position.	Regards both as justifiable in theory, but concerned they will enhance Qadhafi's standing without reducing his ability to promote terrorism.	Extremely reluctant to expel Libyan diplo- mats; has about 35,000 workers in Libya and is owed \$3 billion by Tripoli.	No official view of Summit. Has consis- tently applauded international cooperation to counter global terrorism.		Would not support more severe sanctions but might be persuaded to extend de facto embargo of Libyan oil.	Would not back NATO action against Libya unless supported by formal deci- sion of the Alliance.
Spain	Believes Qadhafi is involved generally in terrorism; accepts possibility that Libya was responsible for Berlin bombing.	Regarded Gulf of Sidra operations as un- duly provocative and expressed disagree- ment with bombing raid.	Strongly supports EC unity and would probably go along with stiffer controls. Would not break diplomatic relations but is expelling 11 Libyan officials.	Would applaud progress in multilateral efforts to combat terrorism.	Would welcome demise of Qadhafi and might be willing to give tacit support for a serious US effort to overthrow him.	Probably would join other EC countries in sharper sanctions. Fears blockade would aggravate crisis and provoke confrontation with Moscow.	Probably willing to join France, Italy, and other Allies in military response to Libyan military attacks on NATO bases in southern Europe.
Portugal	Recognizes Libyans play active role in international terrorism; says no reason to doubt Qadhafi's complicity in Berlin ter- rorism incident.	Maintained low profile during Gulf of Sidra operations. Declared "dialogue" rather than "force" is best means of deal- ing with international terrorism.	Supports EC measures but has said each country must apply in light of own interests; will not "expel Libyans just for being Libyans."	Would applaud progress in multilateral efforts to combat terrorism.	Reluctant to get out in front of EC part- ners but might be willing to support US operation to oust Qadhafi.	Likely to go along with more sanctions, if generally supported in EC.	Probably would support Allied military response.